arXiv:1210.1146v1 [gr-qc] 3 Oct 2012

On a purely geometric approach to the Dirac matter field and its quantum properties

Luca Fabbri

PACS: 04.20.Cv · 04.20.Gz · 04.20.-q

Abstract

We consider the most general completely antisymmetric torsional completion of gravity, taken with electrodynamics, to study $\frac{1}{2}$-spin spinorial Dirac matter fields: this theory endows with non-linear self-interacting potentials among fermions within the Dirac field equation; nevertheless it is the only theory that is still compatible with all the experimental limits known at the moment. In this paper, we are going to employ this theory to give to the matter/antimatter duality a new interpretation in which the problem of positive-defined energies does not even appear, allowing us to investigate fundamental problems connected to the quantum world according to an alternative perspective.

Introduction

If we were to ask mathematicians or philosophers what would be the single most fundamental argument in the geometry of absolute differential calculus for the presence of torsion, they would reverse the question by asking what would be the arguments *not* to have torsion instead: in fact if we want to develop a geometry for tensors and their derivatives, we need a connection which, in its most general form, has torsion already; although there is no *a priori* reason to have torsion removed, nevertheless there have been attempts to invoke some principle to deduce that torsion must vanish, and indeed for an unambiguous implementation of the principles of equivalence and causality a sufficient condition is to have torsion equal to zero [1]: nevertheless, if we want to be in the most general case with a sufficient and necessary condition then torsion need only be completely antisymmetric [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7]. If we were to ask theoreticians what would be the most important consequence for physics of the presence of torsion, they would state that torsion enters beside curvature and gauge fields to complete the set of geometrical fields, on the one hand, much like the spin is present alongside the energy and the current as the complete set of matter conserved quantities, on the other hand, so that they may respectively be fully coupled, according to the prescription to have torsion-spin, curvature-energy and gauge-current field equations [8, 9]; because the only field for which the principle of equivalence and causality are respected without any supplementary restriction is the $\frac{1}{2}$-spin spinor, which has a completely antisymmetric spin, then both torsion and the spin are completely antisymmetric, and the torsion-spin coupling field equations still have their validity [10, 11]. If we were to ask phenomenologists what would be the effects torsion would have for the observable universe, they would reply that evidence should be sought in the torsionally-induced spin-contact interactions among spinors, such as for instance a dynamical form of the principle of exclusion for fermions described by Dirac matter fields [12]. This should make quite clear the importance of torsion in the description of physical systems.

For long, the only weakest point of torsion was that its effects were believed to be relevant only at the Planck scale, until some recent developments in which more general dynamics for torsion were considered opened the way toward a more optimistic direction [13, 14]: in such generalization, the torsionally-induced spin-contact interactions among spinors have a constant that is yet to be determined, and so potentially relevant even at nuclear scales [15]. The fact that torsion is still algebraically related to the spin, which is algebraically given in terms of bilinear spinors, means that torsion vanishes where there is no spin, that is out of spinorial distributions, and thus, although the effects for the fermions can be empowered within the Dirac matter field distribution, they are absent in vacuum, compatibly with all experimental limits that are known [16].

As it stands, this theory appears to be the single generalization that comes from first principles and which is compatible with all observational evidence, able to provide non-linear interactions among fermions within the Dirac matter field equation, identical to those already investigated in renowned theories such as Nambu-Jona–Lasinio, Ginzburg-Landau or Heisenberg models.

In this paper however, we employ this theory to study more fundamental problems related to the interpretation of quantum effects.

1 The Kinematic Symmetries

We begin with a brief summary of the notation introduced in [15].

In everything that will follow, the Riemann-Cartan geometry is taken in its most general form with metric-compatibility is given in terms of the metric tensors $g_{\alpha\sigma}$ and $g^{\alpha\sigma}$ symmetric and one the inverse of the other, compatible with the most general connection $\Gamma^{\alpha}_{\mu\nu}$ in the sense that the connection defines a covariant derivative D_{μ} for which $Dg=0$ and such that the torsion tensor

$$Q^{\alpha}{}_{\mu\nu}=\Gamma^{\alpha}_{\mu\nu}-\Gamma^{\alpha}_{\nu\mu} \tag{1}$$

is take to be completely antisymmetric $Q_{[\alpha\mu\rho]}=6Q_{\alpha\mu\rho}$ identically: the metric-compatibility condition and complete antisymmetry of torsion encode the fact that there exists a unique symmetric part of the connection that can be vanished while the metric can be flattened in the same neighborhood of the very same coordinate system, and then this connection can be decomposed according to

$$\Gamma^{\mu}_{\sigma\pi}=\tfrac{1}{2}Q^{\mu}{}_{\sigma\pi}+\tfrac{1}{2}g^{\mu\rho}\left(\partial_{\pi}g_{\sigma\rho}+\partial_{\sigma}g_{\pi\rho}-\partial_{\rho}g_{\sigma\pi}\right) \tag{2}$$

which holds in general; then we can also define the curvature tensor

$$G^{\mu}{}_{\rho\sigma\pi}=\partial_{\sigma}\Gamma^{\mu}_{\rho\pi}-\partial_{\pi}\Gamma^{\mu}_{\rho\sigma}+\Gamma^{\mu}_{\lambda\sigma}\Gamma^{\lambda}_{\rho\pi}-\Gamma^{\mu}_{\lambda\pi}\Gamma^{\lambda}_{\rho\sigma} \tag{3}$$

antisymmetric in the first and second couple of indices, so with one independent contraction $G^{\alpha}{}_{\rho\alpha\sigma}=G_{\rho\sigma}$ with $G_{\rho\sigma}g^{\rho\sigma}=G$ called Ricci tensor and scalar, and so

$$G^{\mu}{}_{\rho\sigma\pi}=R^{\mu}{}_{\rho\sigma\pi}+\tfrac{1}{2}(\nabla_{\sigma}Q^{\mu}{}_{\rho\pi}-\nabla_{\pi}Q^{\mu}{}_{\rho\sigma})+\tfrac{1}{4}(Q^{\mu}{}_{\lambda\sigma}Q^{\lambda}{}_{\rho\pi}-Q^{\mu}{}_{\lambda\pi}Q^{\lambda}{}_{\rho\sigma}) \tag{4}$$

in terms of the metric curvature tensor $R^{\mu}{}_{\rho\sigma\pi}$ whose contraction is therefore given by $R^{\alpha}{}_{\rho\alpha\sigma}\!=\!R_{\rho\sigma}$ with $R_{\rho\sigma}g^{\rho\sigma}\!=\!R$ called Ricci metric tensor and scalar.

This setting can be translated in the tetrad formalism by defining the dual bases of orthonormal tetrads ξ^{a}_{σ} and ξ^{σ}_{a} such that they verify orthonormality conditions $\xi^{\sigma}_{a}\xi^{\nu}_{b}g_{\sigma\nu}\!=\!\eta_{ab}$ and $\xi^{a}_{\sigma}\xi^{b}_{\nu}g^{\sigma\nu}\!=\!\eta^{ab}$ in terms of the Minkowskian matrices, while the spin-connection $\Gamma^{i}_{j\mu}$ defining the covariant derivative D_{μ} is such that it gives $D\xi\!=\!0$ and $D\eta\!=\!0$ and although for a connection with two different types of indices one cannot define torsion nevertheless (1) in this formalism is

$$-Q^{a}{}_{\mu\nu}=\partial_{\mu}\xi^{a}_{\nu}-\partial_{\nu}\xi^{a}_{\mu}+\Gamma^{a}_{j\mu}\xi^{j}_{\nu}-\Gamma^{a}_{j\nu}\xi^{j}_{\mu} \tag{5}$$

as it might have been expected: these conditions imply that (2) is given by

$$\Gamma^{b}{}_{j\mu}=\xi^{\alpha}_{j}\xi^{b}_{\rho}\left(\Gamma^{\rho}{}_{\alpha\mu}+\xi^{k}_{\alpha}\partial_{\mu}\xi^{\rho}_{k}\right) \tag{6}$$

and it is antisymmetric in the two world indices; the curvature is

$$G^{a}{}_{b\sigma\pi}=\partial_{\sigma}\Gamma^{a}_{b\pi}-\partial_{\pi}\Gamma^{a}_{b\sigma}+\Gamma^{a}_{j\sigma}\Gamma^{j}_{b\pi}-\Gamma^{a}_{j\pi}\Gamma^{j}_{b\sigma} \tag{7}$$

antisymmetric in both the coordinate and the world indices, writable as

$$G^{a}{}_{b\sigma\pi}=G^{\mu}{}_{\rho\sigma\pi}\xi^{\rho}_{b}\xi^{a}_{\mu} \tag{8}$$

in terms of the previous expression (3) of the Riemann tensor, as obvious.

Analogously, we define the geometry of complex fields, where the introduction of the gauge-connection A_{μ} defines the gauge-covariant derivative D_{μ} that extends the differential properties to complex fields: this gauge-connection is therefore introduced as a purely geometrical field; its curvature is given by

$$F_{\mu\nu}=\partial_{\mu}A_{\nu}-\partial_{\nu}A_{\mu} \tag{9}$$

antisymmetric in the two indices and thus irreducible, called Maxwell tensor.

Writing the geometry in world indices had the advantage that the transformation laws had an explicit structure that could also be written in the complex representation, including gauge fields: the irreducible representation is given in terms of the $\frac{1}{2}$-spin 2-dimensional sigma matrices $\vec{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}$ and with the additional constant q called charge, so that the most general Lorentz complex transformation can be $\exp[(\vec{\varphi}+i\vec{\theta})\cdot\frac{\vec{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}}{2}+i\alpha q]$ or $\exp[(-\vec{\varphi}+i\vec{\theta})\cdot\frac{\vec{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}}{2}+i\alpha q]$ because of the sign ambiguity of the boosts, but additionally we can also have the alternative forms that are given by $\exp[(\vec{\varphi}+i\vec{\theta})\cdot\frac{\vec{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}}{2}-i\alpha q]$ or $\exp[(-\vec{\varphi}+i\vec{\theta})\cdot\frac{\vec{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}}{2}-i\alpha q]$ because of the sign ambiguity of the charge, and as it is clear these four transformations exhaust all the relative sign combinations; the transformation with positive/negative rapidity correspond to left/right-handed helicities, so that if we think at these two projections as the two chiral components of a single transformation then we can merge them together into a reducible $\frac{1}{2}$-spin 4-dimensional representation as

$$\vec{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}\mathbf{0} & \vec{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}\\ -\vec{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} & \mathbf{0}\end{array}\right)\qquad\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{0}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}\mathbf{0} & \mathbb{I}\\ \mathbb{I} & \mathbf{0}\end{array}\right) \tag{10}$$

defining sigma matrices $\frac{1}{4}[\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{i},\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{j}]=\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{ij}$ so that $\{\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{i},\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{jk}\}=i\varepsilon_{ijkq}\boldsymbol{\gamma}\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{q}$ in the pair of Lorentz representations $\exp[\frac{1}{2}\theta^{ij}\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{ij}+i\alpha q]$ or $\exp[\frac{1}{2}\theta^{ij}\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{ij}-i\alpha q]$ still separated in terms of their charge, where the transformation with positive/negative charge obviously correspond to the positive/negative charge phases, and if we think at these two phases as the two electric components of a single transformation then we can merge them together into a reducible $\frac{1}{2}$-spin 8-dimensional representation

$$\boldsymbol{\Gamma}^{\mu}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}\gamma^{\mu} & \mathbf{0}\\ \mathbf{0} & \gamma^{\mu}\end{array}\right)\qquad\boldsymbol{K}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}\mathbb{I} & \mathbf{0}\\ \mathbf{0} & -\mathbb{I}\end{array}\right)\tag{11}$$

with sigma matrices $[\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_i,\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_j]=4\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{ij}$ so that $\{\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_i,\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{jk}\}=i\varepsilon_{ijkq}\boldsymbol{\Gamma}\boldsymbol{\Gamma}^q$ is the complete Lorentz complex representation $\exp[\frac{1}{2}\theta^{ij}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{ij}+iq\alpha\boldsymbol{K}]$ that is called spinorial representation, and additionally it is important to introduce the matrix

$$\boldsymbol{M}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}\mathbf{0} & \mathbb{I}\\ \mathbb{I} & \mathbf{0}\end{array}\right)\tag{12}$$

which will become useful in the following of the paper. Consequently, it is possible to introduce the spinor-connection $\boldsymbol{A}_{\mu}$ through which we define the spinor-covariant derivative $\boldsymbol{D}_{\mu}$ containing the information about the dynamics of the spinor fields: the spinorial constancy of the matrices $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_j$ is implemented automatically, and thus the spinor-connection $\boldsymbol{A}_{\mu}$ is decomposed according to

$$\boldsymbol{A}_{\mu}=\tfrac{1}{2}\Gamma^{ab}{}_{\mu}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{ab}+iqA_{\mu}\boldsymbol{K}\tag{13}$$

in terms of the complex-valued spin-connection plus an abelian field which we may identify with the Maxwell gauge-connection; the curvature is given by

$$\boldsymbol{F}_{\sigma\pi}=\partial_{\sigma}\boldsymbol{A}_{\pi}-\partial_{\pi}\boldsymbol{A}_{\sigma}+[\boldsymbol{A}_{\sigma},\boldsymbol{A}_{\pi}]\tag{14}$$

which is a tensorial spinor antisymmetric in the tensorial indices, writable as

$$\boldsymbol{F}_{\sigma\pi}=\tfrac{1}{2}G^{ab}{}_{\sigma\pi}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{ab}+iqF_{\sigma\pi}\boldsymbol{K}\tag{15}$$

as a combination of Riemann and Maxwell tensors, in a very compact form.

2 The Dynamic Equations

Next point that needs to be settled is the implementation of the dynamics by requiring a link between the geometric fields on the one hand and the material quantities on the other hand: we will consider the most general least-order derivative lagrangian, whose variation in terms of all the independent field involved will provide all the respective the field equations, starting from the completely antisymmetric torsion in terms of the spin density

$$Q^{\rho\mu\nu}=-aS^{\rho\mu\nu}\tag{16}$$

then the field equations for the curvature in terms of the energy density

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\tfrac{8\pi k}{a}-\tfrac{1}{2}\right)\left(\tfrac{1}{4}\delta^{\mu}_{\nu}Q^2-\tfrac{1}{2}Q^{\mu\alpha\sigma}Q_{\nu\alpha\sigma}+D_{\rho}Q^{\rho\mu}{}_{\nu}\right)+\left(G^{\mu}{}_{\nu}-\tfrac{1}{2}\delta^{\mu}_{\nu}G-\lambda\delta^{\mu}_{\nu}\right)+\\ +8\pi k\left(F^{\rho\mu}F_{\rho\nu}-\tfrac{1}{4}\delta^{\mu}_{\nu}F^2\right)=8\pi kT^{\mu}{}_{\nu}\end{aligned}\tag{17}$$

together with the field equations for the gauge fields in terms of the current

$$\tfrac{1}{2}F_{\alpha\mu}Q^{\alpha\mu\rho}+D_{\sigma}F^{\sigma\rho}=J^{\rho}\tag{18}$$

where the torsional coupling constant a is not fixed yet, k is the gravitational constant and the parameter λ is called the cosmological constant, and in which we have the conserved quantities are given by the completely antisymmetric spin density $S^{\rho\mu\nu}$ and the energy density $T^{\mu\nu}$ with the current J^{ρ} and with the matter field equation giving rise to conservation laws for the conserved quantities above after determining the behaviour of the matter field itself; by considering only geometrical identities, it is possible to prove that the conserved quantities have to verify the conservation laws given by the divergence of the spin density

$$D_{\rho}S^{\rho\mu\nu}+\tfrac{1}{2}\left(T^{\mu\nu}-T^{\mu\nu}\right)\equiv 0 \tag{19}$$

together with the divergence of the energy density

$$D_{\mu}T^{\mu\nu}+T_{\rho\beta}Q^{\rho\beta\nu}-S_{\mu\rho\beta}G^{\mu\rho\beta\nu}+J_{\rho}F^{\rho\nu}\equiv 0 \tag{20}$$

and the divergence of the current

$$D_{\rho}J^{\rho}=0 \tag{21}$$

occurring whenever the matter fields satisfy the matter field equations.

As it is clear from the discussion above, we have a that a single matter field is constituted by a scalar complex field of a well defined helicity, and that there must be both opposite-helicity semi-spinors in a single spinor, and two opposite-charged spinors in the complete matter field, and since this accounts for four independent components; because there is a total amount of eight of them, then half of the total amount of independent components has to be constrained, and this is precisely what has to be done by means of the matter field equations we have to define in the following; notice that the four irreducible fields are independent on one another in the sense that some of them may vanish without for this implying the vanishing of others, nor specific restrictions on the their intrinsic structure: thus the completely antisymmetric spin density is

$$\begin{aligned}S^{\rho\mu\nu}=&\tfrac{i\hbar}{4}\overline{e}_{L}\{\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\rho},\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\mu\nu}\}e_{L}+\tfrac{i\hbar}{4}\overline{e}_{R}\{\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\rho},\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\mu\nu}\}e_{R}+\\&+\tfrac{i\hbar}{4}\overline{p}_{L}\{\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\rho},\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\mu\nu}\}p_{L}+\tfrac{i\hbar}{4}\overline{p}_{R}\{\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\rho},\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\mu\nu}\}p_{R}\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

which comes alongside to the energy density

$$\begin{aligned}T^{\mu}{}_{\nu}=&\tfrac{i\hbar}{2}\left(\overline{e}_{L}\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\mu}\boldsymbol{D}_{\nu}e_{L}-\boldsymbol{D}_{\nu}\overline{e}_{L}\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\mu}e_{L}\right)+\tfrac{i\hbar}{2}\left(\overline{e}_{R}\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\mu}\boldsymbol{D}_{\nu}e_{R}-\boldsymbol{D}_{\nu}\overline{e}_{R}\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\mu}e_{R}\right)+\\&+\tfrac{i\hbar}{2}\left(\overline{p}_{L}\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\mu}\boldsymbol{D}_{\nu}p_{L}-\boldsymbol{D}_{\nu}\overline{p}_{L}\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\mu}e_{L}\right)+\tfrac{i\hbar}{2}\left(\overline{p}_{R}\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\mu}\boldsymbol{D}_{\nu}p_{R}-\boldsymbol{D}_{\nu}\overline{p}_{R}\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\mu}p_{R}\right)\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

and also with the currents given by

$$\begin{aligned}J^{\rho}=&q\hbar\overline{e}_{L}\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\rho}e_{L}+q\hbar\overline{e}_{R}\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\rho}e_{R}-\\&-q\hbar\overline{p}_{L}\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\rho}p_{L}-q\hbar\overline{p}_{R}\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\rho}p_{R}\end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

where the semi-spinor fields satisfy the matter field equations

$$\begin{aligned}&i\hbar\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\mu}\boldsymbol{D}_{\mu}e_{L}-me_{R}=0 \qquad &&i\hbar\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\mu}\boldsymbol{D}_{\mu}e_{R}-me_{L}=0\\&i\hbar\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\mu}\boldsymbol{D}_{\mu}p_{L}+mp_{R}=0 \qquad &&i\hbar\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\mu}\boldsymbol{D}_{\mu}p_{R}+mp_{L}=0\end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

where q is the charge and m is the mass of the matter field coupling left-handed and right-handed components and taken with both signs to distinguish the two pairs of field equations, and where the universal constant $\hbar$ is the Planck constant. Notice that e_L and e_R as well as p_R and p_L have the same charge and since they have the same sign in the mass term their components verify the Klein-Gordon equation with positive mass; the constants q and m allows a specific discrete symmetry among the four fields, where the four chiral projections can be shuffled according to $e_R \leftrightarrow e_L$ and $p_R \leftrightarrow p_L$ swapping left-handed and right-handed semi-spinors and there is also another operation given by the discrete operator $e \leftrightarrow p$ with $q \to -q$ and $m \to -m$ switching the two spinors inverting the sign of the charge and mass term for which the system of conserved quantities and matter field equations is clearly invariant: so we may recollect the fields according to the projections $\frac{1}{2}(\mathbb{I}+\boldsymbol{\gamma})e = e_L$ and $\frac{1}{2}(\mathbb{I}-\boldsymbol{\gamma})e = e_R$ together with the alternative form $\frac{1}{2}(\mathbb{I}+\boldsymbol{\gamma})p = p_L$ and $\frac{1}{2}(\mathbb{I}-\boldsymbol{\gamma})p = p_R$ going from the 2-dimensional to the 4-dimensional form for the completely antisymmetric spin density

$$S^{\rho\mu\nu} = \tfrac{i\hbar}{4}\overline{e}\{\boldsymbol{\Gamma}^{\rho}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{\mu\nu}\}e + \tfrac{i\hbar}{4}\overline{p}\{\boldsymbol{\Gamma}^{\rho}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{\mu\nu}\}p \tag{26}$$

alongside to the energy density

$$T^{\mu}{}_{\nu} = \tfrac{i\hbar}{2}\left(\overline{e}\boldsymbol{\Gamma}^{\mu}\boldsymbol{D}_{\nu}e - \boldsymbol{D}_{\nu}\overline{e}\boldsymbol{\Gamma}^{\mu}e\right) + \tfrac{i\hbar}{2}\left(\overline{p}\boldsymbol{\Gamma}^{\mu}\boldsymbol{D}_{\nu}p - \boldsymbol{D}_{\nu}\overline{p}\boldsymbol{\Gamma}^{\mu}p\right)$$

and the current

$$J^{\rho} = q\hbar\overline{e}\boldsymbol{K}\boldsymbol{\Gamma}^{\rho}e + q\hbar\overline{p}\boldsymbol{K}\boldsymbol{\Gamma}^{\rho}p \tag{27}$$

where the spinor field verifies the matter field equations

$$i\hbar\boldsymbol{\Gamma}^{\mu}\boldsymbol{D}_{\mu}e - m\boldsymbol{K}e = 0 \qquad i\hbar\boldsymbol{\Gamma}^{\mu}\boldsymbol{D}_{\mu}p - m\boldsymbol{K}p = 0 \tag{28}$$

in which we see that the two e and p have opposite charge but despite they also have opposite sign of the mass terms their components verify the Klein-Gordon equation with positive mass. Again the discrete operation $\boldsymbol{\Gamma} \to -\boldsymbol{\Gamma}$ together with the other transformation $\psi \to \boldsymbol{M}\psi$ with $q \to -q$ and $m \to -m$ switching the two spinors inverting the sign of the charge and mass term leave the conserved quantities and matter field equations invariant: therefore it is again possible to recollect fields as $\frac{1}{2}(\mathbb{I}+\boldsymbol{K})\psi = e$ and $\frac{1}{2}(\mathbb{I}-\boldsymbol{K})\psi = p$ passing from the 4-dimensional up to the 8-dimensional form of the completely antisymmetric spin density

$$S^{\rho\mu\nu} = \tfrac{i\hbar}{4}\overline{\psi}\{\boldsymbol{\Gamma}^{\rho}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{\mu\nu}\}\psi \tag{29}$$

which comes alongside to the energy density

$$T^{\mu}{}_{\nu} = \tfrac{i\hbar}{2}\left(\overline{\psi}\boldsymbol{\Gamma}^{\mu}\boldsymbol{D}_{\nu}\psi - \boldsymbol{D}_{\nu}\overline{\psi}\boldsymbol{\Gamma}^{\mu}\psi\right) \tag{30}$$

and also with the current

$$J^{\rho} = q\hbar\overline{\psi}\boldsymbol{K}\boldsymbol{\Gamma}^{\rho}\psi \tag{31}$$

where the matter field equations are

$$i\hbar\boldsymbol{\Gamma}^{\mu}\boldsymbol{D}_{\mu}\psi - m\boldsymbol{K}\psi = 0 \tag{32}$$

and these will be the field equations we will employ in the following of the paper.

The whole system of field equations up to the 8-dimensional representation of the matter field equations can eventually be written altogether, starting from the completely antisymmetric torsion-spin density field equations as the algebraic system of field equations given in terms of the following relationships

$$Q^{\rho\mu\nu}=-a\tfrac{i\hbar}{4}\overline{\psi}\{\boldsymbol{\Gamma}^{\rho},\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{\mu\nu}\}\psi \tag{33}$$

and the non-symmetric curvature-energy density field equations as

$$\begin{aligned}&\left(\tfrac{8\pi k}{a}-\tfrac{1}{2}\right)\left(\tfrac{1}{4}\delta^{\mu}_{\nu}Q^{2}-\tfrac{1}{2}Q^{\mu\alpha\sigma}Q_{\nu\alpha\sigma}+D_{\rho}Q^{\rho\mu}{}_{\nu}\right)+\left(G^{\mu}{}_{\nu}-\tfrac{1}{2}\delta^{\mu}_{\nu}G-\lambda\delta^{\mu}_{\nu}\right)+\\&+8\pi k\left(F^{\rho\mu}F_{\rho\nu}-\tfrac{1}{4}\delta^{\mu}_{\nu}F^{2}\right)=8\pi k\tfrac{i\hbar}{2}\left(\overline{\psi}\boldsymbol{\Gamma}^{\mu}\boldsymbol{D}_{\nu}\psi-\boldsymbol{D}_{\nu}\overline{\psi}\boldsymbol{\Gamma}^{\mu}\psi\right)\end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

together with the gauge-current field equations

$$\tfrac{1}{2}F_{\alpha\mu}Q^{\alpha\mu\rho}+D_{\sigma}F^{\sigma\rho}=q\hbar\overline{\psi}\boldsymbol{K}\boldsymbol{\Gamma}^{\rho}\psi \tag{35}$$

where the spinor field verifies the spinorial matter field equations

$$i\hbar\boldsymbol{\Gamma}^{\mu}\boldsymbol{D}_{\mu}\psi-m\boldsymbol{K}\psi=0 \tag{36}$$

where the torsional quantities can be decomposed in terms of torsionless quantities plus torsional contributions that can be converted through the torsion-spin algebraic field equations into spinorial potentials: so the symmetrized form of the gravitational field equations written for the Ricci tensor are given by the

$$\begin{aligned}&(R_{\mu\nu}+\lambda g_{\mu\nu})+8\pi k\left(F^{\rho}{}_{\mu}F_{\rho\nu}-\tfrac{1}{4}g_{\mu\nu}F^{2}\right)=-4\pi km\overline{\psi}\boldsymbol{K}\psi g_{\mu\nu}+\\&+8\pi k\tfrac{i\hbar}{4}\left(\overline{\psi}\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{\mu}\boldsymbol{\nabla}_{\nu}\psi+\overline{\psi}\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{\nu}\boldsymbol{\nabla}_{\mu}\psi-\boldsymbol{\nabla}_{\nu}\overline{\psi}\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{\mu}\psi-\boldsymbol{\nabla}_{\mu}\overline{\psi}\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{\nu}\psi\right)\end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

while the electrodynamic field equations reduce to the simplest

$$\nabla_{\sigma}F^{\sigma\rho}=q\hbar\overline{\psi}\boldsymbol{K}\boldsymbol{\Gamma}^{\rho}\psi \tag{38}$$

and the matter field equations are given instead by

$$i\hbar\boldsymbol{\Gamma}^{\mu}\boldsymbol{\nabla}_{\mu}\psi+\tfrac{3a}{16}\hbar^{2}\overline{\psi}\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{\mu}\boldsymbol{\Gamma}\psi\boldsymbol{\Gamma}^{\mu}\boldsymbol{\Gamma}\psi-m\boldsymbol{K}\psi=0 \tag{39}$$

where the gravitational and electrodynamic field equations are identical to those we would have in the torsionless case but the matter field equations are identical to those we would have if we were in the torsionless case complemented with self-interactions of the matter field; forgetting for the moment about the gravitational field equations, we see that the electrodynamics field equations

$$\nabla_{\sigma}F^{\sigma\rho}=q\hbar\overline{\psi}\boldsymbol{K}\boldsymbol{\Gamma}^{\rho}\psi \tag{40}$$

and the Fierz rearranged form of the matter field equations

$$i\hbar\boldsymbol{\Gamma}^{\mu}\boldsymbol{\nabla}_{\mu}\psi-\tfrac{3a}{16}\hbar^{2}\overline{\psi}\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{\mu}\psi\boldsymbol{\Gamma}^{\mu}\psi-m\boldsymbol{K}\psi=0 \tag{41}$$

are such that all interactions of the matter field are determined in terms of its current alone, which can never vanish without vanishing the whole spinor matter field, and finally by employing another form of the Fierz identities these last field equations can eventually be rearranged into the final form

$$i\hbar\boldsymbol{\Gamma}^{\mu}\boldsymbol{\nabla}_{\mu}\psi-\tfrac{3a}{16}\hbar^{2}\left(\overline{\psi}\psi\mathbb{I}-\overline{\psi}\boldsymbol{\Gamma}\psi\boldsymbol{\Gamma}\right)\psi-m\boldsymbol{K}\psi=0 \tag{42}$$

showing that if the constant a is taken to have a positive value then the non-linear interactions are repulsive in the matter field equation, as well known.

So to summarize, we may say that according to the present description, there are not only two but four types of semi-spinors: the two opposite-helicity semi-spinorial chiral projections for each of the two opposite-charge spinors that constitute the full matter field; correspondingly there are four matter field equations, for which when mass terms are added the chiral components become paired by the mass term, while the possibility to choose both signs of the mass term allowed us to introduce a symmetry exchanging not only the sign of the charge label but also that of the mass term, and giving the possibility to recollect the four fields into a chirally-paired opposite-mass matter field equation.

2.1 Interacting Potential of Matter Fields

In the following, we shall focus on the matter field equations, trying to find plane-wave solutions in order to better understand the most important properties of the matter field: first of all, we notice that writing the Dirac matter field equation (42) in terms of the chiral representation plane-wave solution are

$$\psi = \mathrm{e}^{-ix^{\mu}P_{\mu}}\left[\begin{array}{c} \cos\frac{\theta}{2}\sqrt{\frac{E-P}{m}}\\ \sin\frac{\theta}{2}\sqrt{\frac{E+P}{m}}\\ \cos\frac{\theta}{2}\sqrt{\frac{E+P}{m}}\\ \sin\frac{\theta}{2}\sqrt{\frac{E-P}{m}}\\ -\cos\frac{\vartheta}{2}\sqrt{\frac{E-P}{m}}\\ -\sin\frac{\vartheta}{2}\sqrt{\frac{E+P}{m}}\\ \cos\frac{\vartheta}{2}\sqrt{\frac{E+P}{m}}\\ \sin\frac{\vartheta}{2}\sqrt{\frac{E-P}{m}} \end{array}\right] \tag{43}$$

showing that in the limit in which both E and P tend to the same value high compared to the mass value then there are in fact only four non-vanishing components each with a well defined helicity, which in 2-dimensional form is

$$\psi = \mathrm{e}^{-ix^{\mu}P_{\mu}}\left[\begin{array}{c} L\\ R\\ -L\\ R \end{array}\right] \tag{44}$$

with conditions $(E+\vec{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}\cdot\vec{P})L-mR=0$ and $(E-\vec{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}\cdot\vec{P})R-mL=0$ or even the more compact 4-dimensional form written according to following expression

$$\psi = \mathrm{e}^{-ix^{\mu}P_{\mu}}\left[\begin{array}{c} u\\ \gamma u \end{array}\right] \tag{45}$$

with the condition $P_{\mu}\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\mu}u-mu=0$ or finally the most compact 8-dimensional form given according to the single spinorial expression

$$\psi = \mathrm{e}^{-ix^{\mu}P_{\mu}}\phi \tag{46}$$

in which there has to be $P_{\mu}\boldsymbol{\Gamma}^{\mu}\phi - m\boldsymbol{K}\phi = 0$ with the condition $\overline{\phi}\phi = 0$ for the normalization, and which is merely the momentum representation of the Dirac matter field equations; back to the coordinate representation, we see that both spinors have the same plane-wave evolution, and so they have the same positively defined energy: notice that when all fields are taken in the 8-dimensional form, torsional contributions leave no effect in the form of the plane-wave solution.

It is now possible to confirm the interpretation that has been suggested in the previous section about the fields involved: what we have is that the general solution is formed by eight components, half of which carrying no physical degrees of freedom, the other half being arranged into opposite-helicity semi-spinors, themselves arranged into opposite-charge spinor fields; therefore, we may interpret the physical fields as left-handed and right-handed chiral projections of matter and right-handed and left-handed chiral projections of antimatter fields, according to the fact that the heuristic definition of antiparticle as a particle with opposite values of all quantum numbers has been extended as to include the reversal of helicity and mass, beside that of the charge: this is not surprising, as helicity and mass have to be considered, beside its electric charge, as quantum numbers labelling the properties of the particle, if we want to follow the Wigner classification of particles in terms of the irreducible representations of the Poincaré complex group. The discrete transformations swapping left-handed and right-handed chiral projections makes it clear that these pairs of chiral field equations are the projections of two field equations for matter and antimatter, whereas the discrete transformation switching matter and antimatter makes it clear that the two material and antimaterial field equations are the parts of a single field equation; the fact of having two pairs of field equations for the left-handed and right-handed chiral projections of both matter and antimatter should not surprise as well, since two field equations is what we would get if we were to extract them from the Klein-Gordon field equations by following the method Dirac himself had followed. Moreover, here we have that all fields written in the same momentum representation $i\boldsymbol{\nabla}_{\mu} = P_{\mu}$ are such that they all have the same positive energy, so that within our interpretation the problem of the negative energies has been converted into the problem of the negative masses.

However, we believe that this is not only an improvement, because the negativity of the a dynamical field has been converted into the negativity of a mere parameter, but it is not a problem at all, since the mass term is not what we usually observe as the mass: in fact, what happens is that the mass term always enters multiplied by the norm of the spinor, so that a solution of negative mass for antiparticles, with negative mass term and negative norm, and a solution of positive mass for particles, with positive mass term and positive norm, are indistinguishable, and then it is easy to see that positive-mass particles and negative-mass antiparticles have the same electrodynamic and gravitational intrinsic behaviour, as a positive-charge and a negative-charge in the electrodynamic field they create behave in the same manner, analogously positive-mass/positive-norm and negative-mass/negative-norm fields have the same gravitational behaviour; in their non-gravitational non-relativistic approximation, the positive-mass solution selects the upper half of the spinor while the negative-mass solution selects the lower half of the spinor as the large component, so that no matter what is the sign of the mass term the large component will in both cases be solution of the same approximated Pauli matter field equation, and of course, the Ehrenfest theorem yields in both cases the Newton law with the correct mass term. Thus it is impossible to see any difference in the sign of the mass by looking at their gravitational or inertial effects, which means that whatever is the sign of the mass parameter in the initial field equations we would always have the positivity of those masses that can in fact be observed.

A serious problem might have arisen if we decided to assign negative masses to particles or positive masses to antiparticles, but since the torsionally-induced self-interaction of matter field is repulsive at high energies, still this would not constitute a problem, because even if the negative masses imply that at low densities the dominant effect is attractiveness, torsional interactions imply that at high energies the dominant effect is repulsiveness, so that this could only have the consequence of creating the conditions to have a dynamical symmetry breaking down to a stable equilibrium configuration in which there will be an effective positive mass term; this will give rise for fermions to what the Higgs mechanism of mass generation does for bosons, as it is well known indeed.

2.2 Structural Quadruplicity of Massive Particles

In what has been done up to now, we have established a general system of field equations where the 8-dimensional representation of the matrices in the matter field equation is the least dimension that is enough to host 4 independent components for the solution, since as it is well known half of the components do not describe physical degrees of freedom if they have to be solutions for the matter field equations: the 4 independent components describing physical degrees of freedom correspond to 2 opposite-helicity semi-spinors in each spinor, and we have 2 of these opposite-charge spinors; we have then interpreted these opposite-charge spinors as the matter and antimatter fields. In this interpretation of the matter/antimatter duality, we have that the material/antimaterial fields are both positive energy solutions of the positive/negative mass field equations respectively, although in both cases the gravitational and inertial masses are positive indeed; this means that matter and antimatter are individual solutions respectively of the positive and negative mass term field equations, but instead of thinking at positive and negative masses we rather have to think about positive masses in two different field equations. Now we have to draw a comparison with the usual interpretation of the matter/antimatter duality.

First of all we notice that in the usual interpretation antimatter is linked to matter by the charge-conjugation $p=\boldsymbol{\gamma}^2e^*$ which, for a specific form of the given plane wave solution, becomes $p=\boldsymbol{\gamma}e$ simply, whereas in our interpretation the relationship $p=\boldsymbol{\gamma}e$ is given by construction, and since this is the form from which the spin-sum completeness relationships are obtained in order to calculate the scattering amplitudes, then we have to conclude that in both interpretations the computation of the scattering amplitudes is the same; however, in our interpretation the degrees of freedom that correspond to matter and antimatter are localized within two separate spinor fields and not as in the usual interpretation intertwined within a single spinor field: what this implies is that in out interpretation we may have matter without antimatter or the converse while in the usual interpretation the vanishing of one implies the vanishing of the other, which contrary to intuition. That the charge-conjugation does not describe a physical operation is clear since it inverts not only the energy but also the spin, and the flip of the spin corresponds to the inversion of sign of all the torsionally-induced self-interactions within the field equations, and as a consequence it does not convert a solution into another solution; also, by writing everything in standard representation to take the non-relativistic limit, the charge-conjugation would map the large component into the small component thus failing to be consistently defined. Instead we believe that the charge-conjugation describes a mere rearrangement of degrees of freedom in a spinor analogous to the complex-conjugation, or the raising/lowering of indices in tensors, and so representing a different from of the same spinor, not a different spinor at all.

A question that would need to be answered in our interpretation however is about the meaning that has to be assigned to the fact that the fields are complex, that is given that with respect to real fields complex fields double the number of degrees of freedom, what is then the meaning of these additional degrees of freedom, since they can not be interpreted as those corresponding to matter and antimatter fields, and a first guess would be that complex-valued wave functions are necessary, whether they describe charge or neutral fields, to proceed with the interpretation of quantum mechanics with which it would otherwise be impossible to deal.

Conclusion

In this paper, we have introduced a general and complete system of field equations where the 8-dimensional representation of the matrices in the matter field equation was used to contain 4 independent components, these 4 components are arranged into 2 opposite-helicity chiral semi-spinorial projections in each spinor, and we have 2 opposite-charge spinors corresponding to opposite-mass matter field equations: so we may interpret these opposite-charge opposite-mass fields as matter and antimatter fields: this is in line with the definition of an antiparticle as a particle with quantum number reversed, when helicity and mass, beside charge, are considered as quantum numbers. Then particles and antiparticles have the same positive energy, so that the problem of negative energies is converted into the problem of negative masses; however, because both positive and negative masses in the matter field equations yield the very same approximations and gravitational effects as if all masses were positive, then there are no noticeable consequences. Although in this interpretation there is no place for the charge-conjugation C operator, nevertheless no noticeable differences appear in the calculation of scattering amplitudes and cross sections as well.

What this implies is that we have two possible sets of tools that can be used to study the Dirac theory: on the one hand, a single Dirac equation was considered to give rise to two solutions, one representing particles with positive energy and the other representing antiparticles with negative energies, and then the usual method of field quantization was introduced to maintain positive the positive energies while converting into positive the negative energies, if we could pay no attention to the fact that in this process an overall negative infinite constant had to be neglected; on the other hand, a pair of Dirac equations was considered to give rise each of them to a single solution, one representing particles while the other representing antiparticles, both having a positive energy, and for which no further adjustment was in general found to be necessary.

Leaving aside the fact that the two interpretations give of course the same results, we think that our is more elegant because it solves the problem of the positivity of the energy without the need to require an unnatural machinery like the field quantization procedure but only a more comprehensive system of field equations, so there is no novelty that has to be added but only some of the already present elements that are to be enlarged a bit.

It is intriguing that all issues affecting the Dirac quantized field to which we could pay no attention so long as gravity was not considered can in fact be solved when gravity is accounted.

References

[1] C. Misner, K. Thorne, J. A. Wheeler, *Gravitation* (Freeman, 1973).

[2] K. Hayashi, *Phys. Lett.* **B65**, 437 (1976).

[3] Xin Yu, *Astrophysical and Space Science* **154**, 321 (1989).

[4] J. Audretsch, C. Lämmerzahl, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **5**, 1285 (1988).

[5] A. Macias, C. Lämmerzahl, *J. Math. Phys.* **34**, 4540 (1993).

[6] L. Fabbri, in *Annales de la Fondation de Broglie: Special Issue on Torsion* (Ed. Dvoeglazov, Fondation de Broglie, 2007).

[7] L. Fabbri, in *Contemporary Fundamental Physics: Einstein and Hilbert* (Ed. Dvoeglazov, Nova Science, 2011).

[8] F. W. Hehl, P. Von Der Heyde, G. D. Kerlick, J. M. Nester, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **48**, 393 (1976).

[9] F. W. Hehl, Yu. N. Obukhov, in *Annales de la Fondation de Broglie: Special Issue on Torsion* (Ed. Dvoeglazov, Fondation de Broglie, 2007).

[10] L. Fabbri, *Annales Fond. Broglie* **33**, 365 (2008).

[11] L. Fabbri, *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **51**, 954 (2012).

[12] L. Fabbri, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **27**, 1250028 (2012).

[13] P. Baekler, F. W. Hehl, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **28**, 215017 (2011).

[14] F. A. Kaempffer, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **7**, 327 (1976).

[15] L. Fabbri, arXiv:1108.3046 [gr-qc].

[16] V.A.Kostelecky, N.Russell, J.Tasson, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **100**, 111102 (2008).